



district court need not conduct *de novo* review, but instead must ‘only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.’” (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee’s note).

Based on her review of the record, the Magistrate Judge has recommended that the action be *dismissed for lack of prosecution*. The Magistrate Judge advised Plaintiff of his right to file objections to the Report and the possible consequences if he failed to do so. Plaintiff has filed no objections and the time for doing so has expired.

After reviewing the Complaint, the motion, the complete record, and the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, the court finds no clear error. Accordingly, the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge is adopted and incorporated by reference. Therefore, it is

**ORDERED** that this action is *dismissed for lack of prosecution*.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

s/ Cameron McGowan Currie  
CAMERON MCGOWAN CURRIE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Columbia, South Carolina  
October 4, 2006